## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,153	11/20/2003	Andrew J. Ritz	MS304412.1/MSFTP484US	9001
	7590 12/12/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
CLEVELAND,	APTIBUT		PAPER NUMBER	
			2134	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

,	•		QK.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	///
	10/718,153	RITZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Jung	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuful Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6-8 and 10-35 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3, 6-8, and 10-35 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>file</u> is/are: a) ☑ accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in a corrective ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge ·
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **CLAIMS PRESENTED**

Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 10-35 are presented.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserted that the feature of "from a BIOS component during an operating system boot process occurring after a hibernate mode" is not taught or suggested by the prior art. Applicant's discussion has caused a careful study of the prior art.

Upon another study of Arbaugh (part of prior art), the Office noticed page 27 and figure 3.1 of Arbaugh. The S4 state (the hibernate state of ACPI standard notation) is handled with the BIOS in the same way as states S1, S2, S3. Thus, the Office must conclude that "from a BIOS component during an operating system boot process occurring after a hibernate mode" is as taught by Arbaugh as the situation with other modes (such as in S1, S2, S3 states).

#### **CLAIM REJECTIONS**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 10-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arbaugh (cited by Applicant, WILLIAM A. ARBAUGH, et al., Automated Recovery in a Secure Bootstrap Process, August 1, 1997, pp. 1-17) and Acpi (cited by Applicant, Advanced Configuration and Power Interface Specification, Revision 2.0a, Compaq/Intel/Microsoft/Phoenix/Toshiba, March 31, 2002) and Allgeuer ("Why Bother About BIOS Security?",

http://www.sans.org/reading\_room/whitepapers/threats/108.php, year 2001).

Regarding claim 1 (for that matter all other claims as well), Applicant asserted that the feature of "from a BIOS component during an operating system boot process occurring after a hibernate mode" is not taught or suggested by the prior art. Upon another study of Arbaugh, the Office noticed page 27 and figure 3.1 of Arbaugh. The

Art Unit: 2134

S4 state (the hibernate state of ACPI standard notation) is handled with the BIOS in the same way as states S1, S2, S3. Thus, the Office must conclude that "from a BIOS component during an operating system boot process occurring after a hibernate mode" is as taught by Arbaugh as the situation with other modes (such as in S1, S2, S3 states).

Thus, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine the teachings of Acpi and the teachings of Allgeuer and the teachings of Arbaugh for the motivation noted previously (and pointed out in previous Office Actions regarding claim 1 and all other claims) so as to teach the claimed invention.

For the other details (which are devoted to issues that are no longer contested), see the previous Office Action.

### Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/718,153 Art Unit: 2134

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**Points of Contact** 

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Application/Control Number:

10/718,153 Art Unit: 2134 Page 6

(571) 27<u>3</u>-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (272) 272-3811.

**David Jung** 

Patent Examiner

12/6/07